

# Flood Risk in Development Planning:

# Overview of Assessment Requirements and Information Sources for Applicants

#### Introduction

Significant areas of the Borough are at risk of flooding, through tidal flood risk from the 48km of coastline and through flood risk from streams. In addition, many small areas across the Borough are at risk from surface water run-off. Climate change is predicted to increase significantly the areas at risk.

In planning for new development, national policy and guidance requires applicants and the Local Planning Authority to take into account not only the present day, but also future flood risk.

#### Purpose of this note

Information about flood risk is spread over various websites and documents, making it difficult for the layperson to find. This note is designed to signpost the most relevant sources of information for applicants in Havant Borough Council's administrative area. This will help applicants to identify:

- whether a site is considered to be at risk of flooding now or in the future and
- what assessments may be required to accompany a planning application.

## Is my site at risk?

The key strategic level sources of information on flood risk are:

- The National Flood Map for Planning (available at <a href="https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/">https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/</a>) provides mapping in a web tool, with a location search function and the ability to draw a line around a search area to receive a basic flood risk report. The tool covers tidal, fluvial and surface water risk and different risk scenarios.
- The Partnership for South Hampshire (PfSH) Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) 2024 (available at <a href="https://www.havant.gov.uk/planning-services/planning-policy/local-plan/pfsh-strategic-flood-risk-assessment-2024">https://www.havant.gov.uk/planning-services/planning-policy/local-plan/pfsh-strategic-flood-risk-assessment-2024</a>) is an extensive subregional strategic flood risk assessment. It consists of a written report for the whole PfSH area, including a Havant specific report and mapping. The map sets are not currently available in interactive form and can only be accessed in PDF format. As well as the map sets listed in the table below, the SFRA provides a range of

additional flood risk data, such as the likely depth of flooding and hazard levels in times of a flood, which may be useful to site-specific Flood Risk Assessments.

#### **Considering Flood Risk in Planning Applications**

Applicants for planning permission for most types of development must demonstrate how flood risk from all sources has been avoided, or where this is not possible, how it has been assessed and mitigated to ensure the development is safe for its lifetime, without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Depending on the size, location and nature of the development, and the nature of the flood risk, there may be a need for one or both of the following assessments:

- The Sequential Test (and Exception Test)
- Site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) or Flood Risk Statement

Any required assessments may be presented as a single report or separately.

These requirements arise from the following frameworks:

- National Planning Policy Framework (Chapter 14)
   www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2
- National Planning Guidance: www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change
- Policy CS15 of the Core Strategy <a href="www.havant.gov.uk/planning-services/planning-policy/local-plan">www.havant.gov.uk/planning-services/planning-policy/local-plan</a>
- The Havant Local Requirements List <u>www.havant.gov.uk/local-requirements-list</u>

Table 1 below sets out the best available sources of flood risk data to determine whether a Sequential Test and/or a Flood Risk Assessment or Flood Risk Statement will be expected to accompany an application. After the table there is further guidance on what an FRA and Sequential test should cover.

Table 1: Is my site at risk? Is a Sequential Test or FRA required? Type of Time Data / Map Set **Notes / Assessment Triggers** Flood Risk **Period** National Flood Map for Tidal (from Present Sites in FZ 2 & 3 are considered to be at medium the sea) Day **Planning** to high risk of flooding in the present day. Select Dataset 'Flood zones 2 and 3' / 'Present The sequential test is required in principle for Dav' areas in FZ 2 or 3. There are exceptions to this (any land outside of requirement, which are summarised in the Zones 2 and 3 is Council's Sequential Test Guidance. considered to lie in Zone A site-specific FRA is required for all sites in 1) Flood Zones 2 and 3, and for sites of 1 hectare or more in Flood Zone 1. National Flood Map for Future tidal mapping is available on both the Tidal (from **Future** the sea) **Planning** national and the sub-regional datasets, but in Select Dataset 'Flood some areas there are discrepancies between the zones 2 and 3' / 2070 map sets. While taking the precautionary 2125 approach and consulting both maps is always advised, the Environment Agency has indicated AND to the Council that for future tidal risk the PfSH SFRA is likely to provide the more reliable SFRA Appendix B Figure information. **Future Coastal Flood** Sites shown on these map sets are considered to be at medium to high risk of flooding in the future, Zones with climate change taken into account. PDF map shows modelled future tidal flood zones 2&3 for the year The sequential test is required in principle for 2122. areas at risk in the future. There are exceptions to this requirement, which are summarised in the (any land outside of Council's Sequential Test Guidance. Zones 2 and 3 is considered to lie in Zone A site-specific FRA is required for all sites in future Flood Zones 2 and 3, and for sites of 1 1) hectare or more in Flood Zone 1. Fluvial (from National Flood Map for Sites in FZ 2 & 3 are considered to be at risk of Present **Planning** flooding in the present day. rivers) Day Select Dataset 'Flood zones 2 and 3' The sequential test is required in principle for areas in FZ 2 or 3. There are exceptions to this (any land outside of requirement, which are summarised in the Zones 2 and 3 is Council's Sequential Test Guidance. considered to lie in Zone 1) A site-specific FRA is required for all sites in Flood Zones 2 and 3, and for sites of 1 hectare or more in Flood Zone 1. Future National Flood Map for Fluvial (from Future fluvial risk mapping is available on both Planning the national and the sub-regional datasets, but in rivers) Select Dataset 'Flood some areas there are discrepancies between the zones 2 and 3' / 2070 map sets. While taking the precautionary approach and consulting both maps is always 2125 advised, the Environment Agency has indicated (NB SFRA Appendix A to the Council that for future fluvial risk the National Flood Map is likely to provide the more Figure 12: Modelled Flood Extents including reliable information.

|               |             | Effects of Climate Change PDF map at Figure 12 shows the best available modelled future risk extents for rivers and streams available at the time, with the 2025 NaFRA update superseding these).  SFRA Appendix A Figure 11: GIS Floodplain Analysis PDF map at Figure 11 provides an indication of areas that could become flooded if the water level were to increase by a set level (300mm or 600mm). | The sequential test is required in principle for areas at risk in the future. There are exceptions to this requirement, which are summarised in the Council's Sequential Test Guidance.  A site-specific FRA is required for all sites in future Flood Zones 2 and 3, and for sites of 1 hectare or more in Flood Zone 1.  In addition, the data for the 300mm increase on map set 11 of the SFRA should be used to identify when additional flood risk assessment work might be needed. Areas potentially at risk as identified by Figure 11 do not require a sequential test, but this risk should be considered through a site-specific FRA.  |
|---------------|-------------|---|--|
| Surface Water | Present Day | National Flood Map for Planning Select Dataset 'Surface water' and 'Annual likelihood of flooding' 1 in 30 or 1 in 100  1 in 1000 year indicates low (0.1% annual) probability in the present day  (NB SFRA Appendix A Figure 3: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) at 2024 shows the same information, but will remain static, whereas the National Map will be updated as new data emerges).   | 1 in 30 year indicates high (3.33% annual) probability in the present day  1 in 100 year indicates medium (1% annual) probability in the present day  While the starting point in national and local policy is that these areas should be avoided for development wherever possible, paragraph 027 of the PPG 10: 7-027-20220825 Revision date: 17 09 2025 indicated that the sequential test need not be applied 'where a site-specific flood risk assessment demonstrates clearly that the proposed layout, design, and mitigation measures would ensure that occupiers and users would remain safe from current and future surface water flood risk for the lifetime of the development (therefore addressing the risks identified e.g. by Environment Agency flood risk mapping), without increasing flood risk elsewhere.'  In addition, the Council acknowledges that in the Havant Borough area, the pockets at surface water flood risk are so numerous (and in most cases small in scale) that the sequential test would be meaningless in most cases, particularly when other sources of flood risk are also taken into account. The sequential test will therefore not be required for sites with identified surface water risk. However, the sequential approach should be taken on site, with the area at risk being avoided for built form wherever possible. Where this is not possible, the applicant should demonstrate why not and give particular attention to the drainage strategy for the site.  Whether a site lies in an area of surface water risk or not, applications for all new buildings and engineering work should consider their drainage |

|                  |        |  | arrangements in detail, including Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) wherever practical. The risk of surface water flooding on and near the site should be considered in the site-specific FRA (where required) and mitigated fully through the drainage strategy for the site. Where an FRA is not otherwise required (i.e. where there is no particular flood risk identified from any source), a Flood Risk Statement should be provided, proportionate in scale and detail to the size of the development proposed (i.e. it is not intended to be a full FRA). An FRS is not required for extensions to houses and changes of use where no building or engineering works are proposed.  |
|------------------|--------|--|--|
| Surface<br>Water | Future | National Flood Map for Planning Select Dataset 'Surface water' and 'Annual likelihood of flooding' 1 in 1000  (NB SFRA Appendix A Figure 3: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) at 2024 shows the same information, but will remain static, whereas the National Map will be updated as new data emerges). | 1 in 1000 year indicates low (0.1% annual) probability in the present day. The SFRA states that it is possible to use with caution the 1 in 1000 year probability mapping to provide an indication of the implications of climate change on surface water flood risk in the future.  While the starting point in national and local policy is that these areas should be avoided for development wherever possible, paragraph 027 of the PPG 10:7-027-20220825 Revision date: 17 09 2025 indicated that the sequential test need not be applied 'where a site-specific flood risk assessment demonstrates clearly that the proposed layout, design, and mitigation measures would ensure that occupiers and users would remain safe from current and future surface water flood risk for the lifetime of the development (therefore addressing the risks identified e.g. by Environment Agency flood risk mapping), without increasing flood risk elsewhere.'  In addition, the Council acknowledges that in the Havant Borough area, the pockets at surface water flood risk are so numerous that the sequential test would become meaningless in most cases, particularly when other sources of flood risk are also taken into account. The sequential test will therefore not be required for sites with identified surface water risk now or in the future. However, the sequential approach should be taken on site, with the area at risk being avoided for built form wherever possible. Where this is not possible, the applicant should demonstrate why not and give particular attention to the drainage strategy for the site.  In line with footnote 63 of the NPPF (2024), an FRA will be required on land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk assessment and flood pear probability). |

|             |                |   | engineering work should consider their drainage arrangements in detail, including Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) wherever practical. The risk of surface water flooding on and near the site should be considered in the site-specific FRA (where required) and mitigated fully through the drainage strategy for the site. Where an FRA is not otherwise required (i.e. where there is no particular flood risk identified from any source), a Flood Risk Statement should be provided, proportionate in scale and detail to the size of the development proposed (i.e. it is not intended to be a full FRA).  An FRS is not required for  Householder extensions  Changes of use where no building or engineering works are proposed  Conversions where proposed building or |
|-------------|----------------|---|---|
|             |                |   | engineering works are internal only.  |
| Groundwater | Present<br>Day | SFRA Appendix A Figure 4 - Area Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding (EA)  SFRA Appendix A Figure 5 - Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding (BGS) | Map sets identify areas where geological and hydrological conditions could enable groundwater flooding to emerge, but they do not show risk of groundwater flooding. This data will therefore not trigger the need for a sequential test or site-specific FRA, though groundwater should be considered if an FRA is required.   |
| Groundwater | Future         |   |   |

## The Sequential Test (and Exception Test)

The first aim of national planning policy and guidance and of local policy is to avoid development in areas at risk of flooding. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is clear that 'Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower risk of flooding'. Planning Practice Guidance further elaborates on the sequential approach to the location of development in the light of flood risk: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#the-sequential-approach-to-the-location-of-development">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#the-sequential-approach-to-the-location-of-development</a>

Applicants for development in areas at risk of flooding are required to demonstrate that there are no reasonably available alternative sites with a lower probability of flooding that could accommodate the development proposed. This Sequential Test is required for all major and non-major development on sites at risk of flooding. This applies to the present day flood risk AND areas at risk in the future.

The Council has put together a guide to the Sequential Test, which clarifies how the test will be applied to development proposals in Havant:

• Flood Risk in Development | Havant Borough Council

If the sequential test is passed, applicants will also need to demonstrate that the Exception Test can be passed. This is covered in the same guidance as the Sequential Test, linked above.

#### Site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)

A flood risk assessment (FRA) is a document that assesses a proposed development in light of the risk of flooding from all sources. It should be site-specific and should take into account the surrounding area, both in terms of risk nearby which may affect the access to the site, but also any risk the development could pose to surrounding land by changing water flows and storage areas. In doing so, it is the key tool to demonstrate that development will be safe for its lifetime, without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

National guidance on how to undertake Flood Risk Assessments is available at:

- Environment Agency Standing advice on Flood Risk Assessments for Applicants: <u>Flood risk assessments: applying for planning permission - GOV.UK</u> and Preparing a flood risk assessment: standing advice - GOV.UK
- Flood Risk Assessment Section of National Guidance on Flood risk and Coastal Change: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#para20">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#para20</a>
- The National Guidance also includes a FRA checklist: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#para80">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#para80</a>
- The Planning Portal web page on FRAs pulls together helpful links: <a href="https://www.planningportal.co.uk/permission/commercial-developments/understanding-and-assessing-flood-risk/your-flood-risk-assessment">https://www.planningportal.co.uk/permission/commercial-developments/understanding-and-assessing-flood-risk/your-flood-risk-assessment</a>

When applicants submit a flood risk assessment as part of their planning application, the Council in most cases seeks Environment Agency advice on its acceptability. Applicants are advised to use the Environment Agency's <u>Flood Risk Assessment template</u> and following the <u>guidance note</u> in order to ensure all the relevant information is covered.

#### Flood Risk Statements

The surface water drainage system is an important factor in management of local flood risk. In cases where a full Flood Risk Assessment is not required, a Flood Risk Statement (FRS) should be submitted with all applications involving new buildings and engineering works. A Flood Risk Statement is not required for householder extensions, or applications where no building or engineering works are proposed (such as changes of use).

A Flood Risk Statement should describe in outline terms the existing and proposed surface water drainage system associated with a proposal and should include consideration of using Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) techniques where these are practical. The Statement should be proportionate in scale and detail to the size of the development proposed (i.e. is not intended to be a full FRA) and should demonstrate that development will not increase, and wherever possible, will reduce run-off rates and volumes.

Guidance on Sustainable Drainage can be found at

- The SuDS section of National Planning Guidance on Flood risk: www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#sustainable-drainage-systems
- From Hampshire County Council as Local Lead Flood Authority: <u>www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/environment/flooding/planning</u>
- National SuDS Guidance by Susdrain.org: <a href="https://www.susdrain.org/delivering-suds/using-suds/design-guidance/guidance-overview.html">https://www.susdrain.org/delivering-suds/using-suds/design-guidance/guidance-overview.html</a>

# **Pre-Application Advice**

All applicants are encouraged to discuss their flood risk and drainage strategies and information needs at the earliest opportunity through <u>pre-application discussions</u> with Havant Borough Council's Planning Team.

In some cases, particularly for more significant developments or areas at significant risk, it is also advisable to seek pre-application advice from the <u>Environment Agency</u> and/or the <u>Lead Local Flood Authority (Hampshire County Council).</u>