

---

# **The Economic Impact of Tourism Havant 2010**

---

**Prepared by:  
Tourism South East  
Research Unit  
40 Chamberlayne Road  
Eastleigh  
Hampshire  
SO50 5JH**

**TOURISM  
SOUTH EAST**

# CONTENTS

	<b>Summary of Results</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Volume of Tourism	1
1.3	Value of Tourism	2
1.4	Economic Impact and Employment Sustained	2
<b>2.</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Objectives of Study	3
2.2	Background	3
2.3	The Cambridge Model	4
2.4	The Cambridge Model: Version II	4
2.5	Methodological Overview	4
<b>3.</b>	<b>Table of Results</b>	<b>8</b>
	Table 1: Staying trips by accommodation	8
	Table 2: Staying nights by accommodation	8
	Table 3: Staying spend by accommodation	8
	Table 4: Tourism day visits	8
	Table 5: Breakdown of expenditure associated with trips	9
	Table 6: Total breakdown of expenditure associated with trips	9
	Table 7: Direct business turnover	9
	Table 8: Indirect business turnover	9
	Table 9: Total local business turnover	9
	Table 10: Total jobs supported by tourism expenditure	9
	Table 11: Proportion of total jobs sustained	10
	Table 12: Employee jobs in tourism sector	10

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

### **Actual Jobs**

Many jobs are seasonal or part-time in their nature in the tourism sector, so an adjustment is made to calculate the actual number of jobs from the number of FTEs. The adjustment made is based on the findings of surveys of tourism related businesses, and national employment surveys.

### **Annual Business Inquiry (ABI)**

This is the main government survey of companies in the UK. It is conducted in two parts: one dealing with employment, the other with financial information.

### **Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings**

The AHSE Survey provides information on wage levels by industry sector and occupation. The main strength of the AHSE is its large sample size. It is based on a 1% sample of employees who are members of PAYE. The coverage of full-time adult employees is virtually complete, and consequently the survey is representative of hours worked for full-time employees on adult rates of pay (although the survey is currently not weighted). The coverage of part-time employees is not comprehensive, as some part-time workers will have earnings below the income tax threshold. The AHSE is the best source for estimating full time earnings.

### **Direct jobs**

Jobs directly generated in those local businesses in which visitors spend money, i.e. hotels, catering establishments.

### **England Leisure Visit Survey (ELVS)**

The leisure day visits survey was last conducted in 2005 and covered approximately 5,000 respondent interviews. Unlike the IPS and UKTS, this survey is not undertaken on an ongoing basis and thus adjustments are made in the model to account for annual increments in the value and volume based on trends observed in 2005.

### **Economic multiplier**

Multipliers are used to estimate the economic impact of visitor expenditure. Visitor expenditure produces three effects. Direct effects are changes in the business sector directly receiving visitor expenditure. For instance, visitors staying in a hotel will directly increase revenue and the number of jobs in the hotel sector. Indirect effects are the changes in supplier businesses. For example, these indirect effects would be hotels purchasing more linen from local suppliers as a result of increased business. Induced effects are changes in local economic activity resulting from household spending. For instance, employees of the hotel and linen supplier spend their wages in the local area, resulting in more sales, income and jobs in the area.

### **Full Time Equivalent Jobs (FTE)**

For the purposes of the Model, a FTE is defined by the average annual salary plus employment costs in the sector concerned.

### **Indirect jobs**

Jobs created locally due to the purchases of goods and services by businesses benefiting from visitor expenditure, i.e. jobs with local suppliers.

### **Induced jobs**

Jobs created throughout the local economy because employees employed due to visitor expenditure spend their wages locally on goods and services such as food, clothing and housing.

### **International Passenger Survey (IPS)**

The International Passenger Survey is conducted by Office for National Statistics and is based on face-to-face interviews with a sample of passengers travelling via the principal airports, sea routes and the Channel Tunnel, together with visitors crossing the land border into Northern Ireland. Around 210,000 interviews are undertaken each year. IPS provides headline figures, based on the county or unitary authority, for the volume and value of inbound trips to the UK.

### **Labour Force Survey (LFS)**

The LFS is a household panel survey, continuous since 1992, with results produced each quarter. It has a sample of approximately 60,000 households. The LFS is the government's largest continuous household survey and participation in the survey is voluntary. LFS data is weighted to enable the population estimates to be produced. The weighting also attempts to compensate for differential non-response among different subgroups in the population. LFS is designed to provide information on the UK labour market that can be used to develop, manage and evaluate labour market.

### **'Other-trip' Expenditure**

Apart from the spending associated with the individual trips, additional spending by non-visitors, e.g. friends and relatives with whom the visitor is visiting and/or staying with will also take place. Moreover, owners of second homes/boats will spend some money on maintenance, repair.

### **Staying trips**

Staying trips comprise a visit which involves a stay away from home of at least one night. The study measures trips, rather than visitors as one visitor may make multiple trips to an area in a given period.

**Tourism day trips**

Day trips are defined as a visit to and from home for leisure purposes, undertaken on an irregular basis and lasting a minimum of three hours. The report excludes trips undertaken for business or study purposes, as these are not covered by the Leisure Day Visits Survey methodology. The definition of day trips adopted by this study is that used by the Department of Culture, Media and Sport.

**United Kingdom Tourism Survey (UKTS)**

The United Kingdom Tourism Survey is undertaken by BRMB for VisitBritain and is based on 1,000 telephone interviews per week (50,000 annually). It provides basic headline data on the volume and value of domestic tourism at a national, regional and county level.

**United Kingdom Occupancy Survey (UKOS)**

As part of the EU Directive on Tourism Statistics adopted in 1995, the UK must report regularly on a specified range of statistics to Eurostat, the official statistical office of the European Union. Included in these statistics are monthly occupancy rates for UK serviced accommodation. The responsibility for providing this data lies with the four National Tourist Boards, and across England the survey is undertaken by the Regional Tourist Boards. A sample of establishments is recruited to the survey and asked to complete a data form each month, giving details of their nightly occupancy. The data form is processed and analysed to produce monthly occupancy rates for the whole of the area and for specific categories of type, size, location etc.

## 1. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

### 1.1 Introduction

- This report contains the findings of a study commissioned by Havant District Council and undertaken by Tourism South East. The overall aim of the research is to provide indicative estimates of the volume, value and resultant economic impact of tourism on Havant in 2010.
- The research involved the application of the Cambridge Tourism Economic Impact Model or 'Cambridge Model'; a computer-based model developed by Geoff Broom Associates and the Regional Tourist Boards of England.
- 2010 saw a drop in overnight trips to the South East reflecting wider national trends. According to the United Kingdom Tourism Survey, 16.3 million domestic overnight trips were spent in the South East in 2010, a 10.9% drop compared to 2009. Domestic trip expenditure dropped by 14% from approx. £2.6 billion to approx. £2.2 billion. At national level, domestic trip volume and spend both dropped by 6%.
- According to the International Passenger Survey the volume of visits made to the South East by overseas visitors which involved a stay of one night or more was approx. 4.2 million in 2010, only marginally lower than in 2009. Expenditure associated with these trips is estimated to have reached £1.7 billion, again, a marginal drop compared to 2009 (down by 0.1%). At national level, trips to the UK by foreign visitors dropped by 0.3% and foreign visitor spend dropped by 0.8%.
- The day trip market has been more resilient. At regional level, day trip volume and expenditure both increased by 2%.
- The regional pattern is reflected across all counties in the South East, although there are some differences at local, District level.

### Key Headline Figures for Havant (2010)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| - 316,000 trips by staying visitors         | - £152,579,000 spent by all visitors                          |
| - 1,207,000 staying visitor nights          | - £8,798,000 other tourism related spend                      |
| - £54,853,000 spent by staying visitors     | - £172,499,000 turnover for local businesses                  |
| - 2,212,000 trips by tourism day visitors   | - 3,685 jobs supported by tourism expenditure                 |
| - £97,726,000 spent by tourism day visitors | - 8% of all jobs in District supported by tourism expenditure |

*Turnover includes multiplier impacts*

### 1.2 Volume of Tourism

- Overall, an estimated 316,000 staying trips were spent in the District in 2010, of which around 277,000 were made by domestic visitors (88%) and 39,000 by overseas visitors (12%). Compared to 2009, domestic overnight trips dropped by 6.4%, whereas overseas overnight trips grew by 2.6%.
- Staying trips resulted in an estimated 1,207,000 bednights in the District, decrease of 7.2% compared to 2008, driven by a reduction in trips taken by domestic visitors and a shortening in average trip length among overseas visitors.

- Staying visitors spent in total £54.8 million on their trip; an overall drop of only 0.7% compared to 2008 as a result of domestic visitors spending more per head in 2010 than in 2008 (up from £40.18 per night per person in 2008 to £43.80 per night per person)
- Approximately 2,212,000 tourism day trips were made to the District (lasting more than 3 hours and taken on an irregular basis) in 2010 generating an additional £97,726,000 visitor trip expenditure. Compared to 2009, the volume of day trips increased by 2.3%, and trip expenditure increased by 2.1%.
- Caravan and camping parks are popular among overnight visitors. It is estimated that a quarter (25%) of all visitors stayed overnight in caravan and camping sites in 2010.
- The most commonly used accommodation type was the home of a friend or relative (45%).
- A fifth of all overnight trips were accommodated in the District's serviced accommodation sector.
- Smaller volumes of trips were accommodated in other types of accommodation. Around 3% of overnight trips involved staying boats (on visiting berths) and a further 1% involved staying in self-catering accommodation.
- Around 1% of all overnight trips involved staying in second homes, with another 4% staying in more than one type of accommodation over the duration of the trip.

### 1.3 Value of Tourism

- Total expenditure by visitors to Havant is estimated to have been in the region of £152,579,000 in 2010, up by 1% compared to 2008.
- Once adjustments are made to recognise that some of this expenditure will take place outside the District (e.g. it is estimated that around 40% of expenditure on travel such as the purchase of petrol, coach and train fares, will be made at source of origin or on-route), total direct visitor expenditure is reduced to £143,590,000.
- Additional tourism expenditure is however, generated by other sources, increasing the total amount of money spent in the District. It is estimated that expenditure on second homes and on goods and services purchased by friends and relatives visitors were staying with, or visiting, generated a further total £8,798,000 expenditure associated with overnights trips in 2010.
- This brings direct expenditure generated by tourism in the District to £152,388,000.

### 1.4 Economic Impact and Employment Sustained

- Direct expenditure is translated to **£172,499,000** worth of income for local businesses through additional indirect and induced effects (£20,111,000). Compared to 2009, this represents an increase of 1.9% in total tourism value.
- This tourism-related expenditure is estimated to have supported 3,204 FTE jobs in Havant. Once part-time and seasonal employment is added, the total number of jobs supported increased to 3,685 Actual jobs.

- These jobs are spread across a wide range of service sectors from catering and retail to public service jobs such as in local government, and not just tourism. According to the Office of National Statistics, there are 44,000 jobs across the District (included self-employed). Based on our estimates, total tourism related expenditure supports 8% of these jobs in the District.
- Separate figures compiled by the Office of National Statistics employment figures drawn from the Annual Business Inquiry reveals the number of employee jobs (excludes self-employed jobs) within the tourism sector. The data shows that an estimated 3,000 jobs in Havant are in tourism-related businesses, representing 7.9% of the total employee workforce.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 Objectives of Study

This report examines the value, volume and resultant economic impact of tourism to the District of Havant. The study was undertaken by Tourism South East using a widely recognised, industry specific methodology, known as the Cambridge Model. To date, this approach has been widely applied across England and the South East region to produce an indicative outline of the scale of tourism activity on a local area basis.

### 2.2 Background

Tourism is not an industry in the conventional sense of the word – i.e. the tourism product is not created out of a conventional production process and the methods used to measure tourism are not conventional ones. Essentially, the tourism industry serves our needs while we are away from our 'usual environment' by providing products and services, and represents an important part of many local economies.

Measuring the impact of visitor volumes at a local level has been an important issue for destination and countryside managers for years. Yet, the scale, diversity and nature of tourism makes quantification a challenge – for example:

- A plethora of businesses across many different sectors comprise the 'tourism product' e.g. accommodation businesses, visitor attractions, transport providers, retailers, restaurants, pubs, tea rooms etc.
- There are many different types of tourist – day visitors, staying visitors, visitors on holiday, visitors on business, plus visitors visiting friends and relatives, on language study etc. All these different markets behaviour in a different way with respect to trip frequency, spend per head, duration of stay etc.
- The nature of tourism itself creates problems as it is impossible to accurately monitor and record every visitor entering or leaving a geographical area.

It must, therefore, be stressed that calculating the value, volume and impact of tourism can never be a precise science. Theoretically, the best approach is implementing cordon surveys – but these are seldom affordable in practice and still engender a number of technical problems. Thus, the method chosen is always governed by issues of affordability, practically, data availability or attainability, data quality/ representativeness and comparability (both in a spatial and temporal sense).

It is for this reason, that the Cambridge Model – a computer based, industry specific model developed to calculate estimates of volume, value and economic impact of tourism on a county of District basis – has been used extensively.



## 2.3 The Cambridge Model

For almost ten years, regional tourist boards across England have been working with Geoff Broom Associates in developing the Cambridge Model approach to estimate the value and volume of tourism to local authority areas.

The model was developed to provide an affordable method of calculating the value of tourism to local economies through using a range of readily available local data on an area's tourism product to disaggregate a range of regional/ county tourism statistics. The method is popular with local authorities as it is affordable and can readily use available local statistics to generate a view of the volume, value and economic impact of visitor activity in the area. Nevertheless, where additional local data exist e.g. high quality occupancy data, information on profile of visitor structure and associated spend etc – this enables the replacement of regional data in the first stages of the model. Business surveys can also be commissioned to generate local calibration of the economic stage of the model.

Indeed, although the Cambridge Model approach has been frequently labelled as being 'top-down', it is entirely possible to drive the model entirely by locally collected data, and thus introduce 'bottom-up elements'. Furthermore, the model utilises a standard methodology capable of application across the UK, and thus offers the potential for direct comparisons with similar destinations throughout the country.

## 2.4 Cambridge Model Version II

Since the inception of the original Cambridge Model approach, a number of changes have occurred to the model's methodology and the context of operation. Most importantly, autumn 2003 saw the launch of Cambridge Model Version II. This revised approach was developed from work undertaken for the South West Regional Development Agency and includes a number of enhancements. These include:

- greater use of local data within the standardised model e.g. occupancy data, information on local wage rates
- enhanced outputs, notably visitor nights by accommodation type, spend by accommodation type, impact of non-trip related spend
- more sophisticated economic impact analysis section
- adoption of a rolling average methodology for staying visitor value and volume<sup>1</sup>

## 2.5 Methodological Overview

### 2.5.1 Key Outputs

The model has two stages:

- Stage 1: Calculates the volume and value of day and staying visitors to the study area.
- Stage 2: Estimates the economic impact of this visitor spending in the local economy.

The Cambridge Model is therefore able to generate indicative estimates for the following:

- The volume of staying trips taken in the District by overseas and domestic visitors

---

<sup>1</sup> This approach offers the additional benefits of producing estimates using more county specific information and is based on three years worth of data for staying visitors – whilst providing additional outputs – notably expenditure and visitor nights by accommodation type.

- The volume of visitor nights spend in the District by overseas and domestic visitors
- The number of leisure day visits taken from home to and within the District
- Visitor expenditure associated with these trips to the District, and its distribution across key sectors of the local tourism economy
- The value of additional business turnover generated by tourism activity within the District
- The level of direct, indirect and induced employment sustained by visitor expenditure within the District

For staying trips the model also offers a breakdown according to the type of accommodation used and the main purpose of visit, i.e. holiday, visiting friends and relatives, business, language school visit and 'other'<sup>2</sup> purposes.

### **2.5.2 Data Sources**

In its standard form, the Cambridge Model uses a range of local data including details of accommodation stock, local occupancy rates, population, employment, local wage rates and visits to attractions. It applies this locally sourced information to regional estimates of tourism volume and expenditure derived from the following national surveys:

- United Kingdom Tourism Survey (UKTS)
- International Passenger Survey (IPS)
- United Kingdom Day Visits Survey (UKDVS)
- New Earnings Survey
- Census of Employment
- Census of Population
- Labour Force Survey
- Annual Business Inquiry

As highlighted above, the Model allows estimates generated using the above existing data sources to be refined further using locally available survey data – to the extent that it is possible to drive the Model entirely by locally collected data. Locally collected data used in this study include:

- Audit of accommodation stock
- Average room and bed occupancy from local survey
- Number of visits to attractions from local survey
- Retail footfall from large retail outlets

### **2.5.3 Limitations of Model**

The Model relies on a range of data sources, which in turn are based on different methodologies and are estimated to different levels of accuracy. The estimates generated by the Model can therefore only be regarded as indicative of the scale and importance of visitor activity in the local area. The Model cannot, for example, take account of any additions to, or leakage of, expenditure arising from visitors taking day trips into or out of the area in which they are staying. It is likely, however, that these broadly balance each other in many areas.

---

<sup>2</sup> 'Other' visitors typically include visitors coming to an area for reasons such as education and training, social or sporting events, or even business matters relating to personal or family duties.

#### 2.5.4 Accuracy of the model

As with all models, the outputs need to be viewed in the context of local information and knowledge. Because of the nature of tourism and the modelling process, this model (as with other approaches) can only produce indicative estimates and not absolute values.

The Cambridge Model approach has been independently validated (R Vaughan, Bournemouth University) and was judged robust and the margins of error acceptable and in line with other modelling techniques. Tourism South East, also implement a number of measures to ensure that outputs are indicative as possible, through working with the local authority to audit accommodation to ensure that data inputs on accommodation capacity are as accurate as possible, and ensuring a high degree of transparency in the process (methodology employed, data used, assumptions made)

As a result, there should be confidence that the estimates produced are as reliable as is practically possible within the constraints of the information available.

*Please note that the Cambridge Model rounds numbers to the nearest 1,000. Trips, nights and expenditure considerably lower than 1,000 will not appear on the tables.*

### 3. TABLES OF RESULTS

	UK		Overseas		Total	
Serviced	53,000	19%	11,000	28%	64,000	20%
Self catering	3,000	1%	1,000	3%	4,000	1%
Caravans & camping	76,000	27%	4,000	10%	80,000	25%
Second homes	3,000	1%	1,000	3%	4,000	1%
Boat moorings	10,000	4%	0	0%	10,000	3%
Other	9,000	3%	3,000	8%	12,000	4%
Paying guests in private houses	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Staying with friends and relatives	123,000	44%	19,000	49%	142,000	45%
2010	277,000	100%	39,000	100%	316,000	100%
2008	296,000	100%	38,000	100%	334,000	
% Change	-6.4%		2.6%		-5.4%	

	UK		Overseas		Total	
Serviced	112,000	12%	37,000	15%	149,000	12%
Self catering	25,000	3%	15,000	6%	40,000	3%
Caravans & camping	414,000	43%	18,000	7%	432,000	36%
Second homes	10,000	1%	7,000	3%	17,000	1%
Boat moorings	22,000	2%	0	0%	22,000	2%
Other	36,000	4%	6,000	2%	42,000	3%
Paying guests in private houses	0	0%	4,000	2%	4,000	0%
Staying with friends and relatives	341,000	36%	162,000	65%	503,000	42%
2010	958,000		249,000		1,207,000	
2008	1,033,000		268,000		1,301,000	
% Change	-7.3%		-7.1%		-7.2%	

	UK		Overseas		Total	
Serviced	£11,218,000	27%	£4,495,000	35%	£15,713,000	29%
Self catering	£1,512,000	4%	£669,000	5%	£2,181,000	4%
Caravans & camping	16,498,000	39%	882,000	7%	17,380,000	32%
Second homes	£306,000	1%	£375,000	3%	£681,000	1%
Boat moorings	£770,000	2%	£0	0%	£770,000	1%
Other	£1,601,000	4%	£185,000	1%	£1,786,000	3%
Paying guests in private houses	£0	0%	£221,000	2%	£221,000	0%
Staying with friends and relatives	£10,059,000	24%	£6,062,000	47%	£16,121,000	29%
2010	£41,965,000		£12,888,000		£54,853,000	
2008	£41,504,000		£13,724,000		£55,228,000	
% Change	1%		-6.1%		-0.7%	

	Trips	Spend
2010	2,212,000	£97,726,000
2008	2,162,000	£95,712,000
% Change	2.3%	2.1%

	Accomm	Shopping	Food and drink	Attractions/ entertain.	Travel	Total	
UK Tourists	£11,723,000	£8,202,000	£13,365,000	£4,143,000	£4,533,000	£41,966,000	28%
Overseas tourists	£3,778,000	£3,686,000	£2,783,000	£1,418,000	£1,223,000	£12,888,000	8%
Total	£15,501,000	£11,888,000	£16,148,000	£5,561,000	£5,756,000	£54,854,000	
%	28%	22%	29%	10%	10%		
Tourist day visitors	£0	£29,188,000	£41,635,000	£12,267,000	£14,635,000	£97,725,000	64%
%	0%	30%	43%	13%	15%		

	Accomm.	Shopping	Food and drink	Attractions/ entertain.	Travel	Total
Total 2010	£15,501,000	£41,076,000	£57,783,000	£17,828,000	£20,391,000	£152,579,000
%	10%	27%	38%	12%	13%	
Total 2008						£151,101,000
% Change						1.0%

	Staying tourists		Day visitors		Total	
Accommodation	£15,824,000	30%	£0	0%	£15,824,000	11%
Retail	£11,769,000	22%	£28,896,000	32%	£40,665,000	28%
Catering	£15,663,000	30%	£40,386,000	44%	£56,049,000	39%
Attraction/entertain	£5,841,000	11%	£12,976,000	14%	£18,817,000	13%
Transport	£3,454,000	7%	£8,781,000	10%	£12,235,000	9%
Total	£52,551,000		£91,039,000		£143,590,000	
Other expenditure	£8,798,000		£0		£8,798,000	
Total with 'other'.	£61,349,000		£91,039,000		£152,388,000	

	Total
Businesses in receipt of trip spend	£1,760,000
Income induced spending	£18,351,000
Total	£20,111,000

	Total
Direct	£152,388,000
Supplier/ income induced	£20,111,000
2010	£172,499,000
2008	£169,317,000
% change	1.9%

TABLE 10: TOTAL JOBS SUPPORTED BY TOURISM EXPENDITURE	
FTE 2010	3,204
Actual 2010	3,685

TABLE 11: PROPORTION OF TOTAL JOBS SUSTAINED ACROSS ALL SECTORS	
	Total
Total employed	44,000
Tourism employment	3,685
Tourism proportion	7%

TABLE 12: EMPLOYEE JOBS IN TOURISM SECTOR		
2008 figures	Havant	%
<i>Employee jobs by industry</i>	38,700	
Manufacturing	5,600	14.5
Construction	2,300	6
Services, of which:	29,700	76.7
– Distribution, hotels & restaurants	10,600	27.4
– Transport & communications	1,000	2.6
– Finance, IT, other business activities	6,900	17.9
– Public admin, education & health	9,300	23.9
– Other services	1,900	4.9
Tourism-related†	3,000	7.9

Notes:

Table 11 figures derived from Annual Business Inquiry

Tourism consists of industries that are also part of the services industry see definition below  
% is a proportion of total employee jobs

Employee jobs excludes self-employed, government-supported trainees and HM Force

Tourism-related includes the following sectors:

*SIC 551 Hotels*

*SIC 552 Camping sites etc*

*SIC 553 Restaurants*

*SIC 554 Bars*

*SIC 633 Activities of travel agencies etc*

*SIC 925 Library, archives, museums etc*

*SIC 926 Sporting activities*

*SIC 927 Other recreational activities*