

Appendix 1 - New National Guidance and		Core Strategy Impact		
Document	Paragraph	Effect/Non compliance/Requirements	Core Strategy Implication?	Action?
<b>PPS1 Supplement: Planning for a Low Carbon Future - Consultation Document</b>	LCF1.4 - Evidence Base	Introduces requirement to assess the local area for opportunities to provide decentralised energy production. This includes specific reference to heat mapping data, district heat networks and co location of heat supply and demand uses	2005 Savills study does provide evidence base on Renewable energy opportunities. Heat mapping has been undertaken for HCC and is contained in the Arup Energy Study (Towards a Hampshire Energy Strategy). This is also referenced in the PUSH energy study. HCC study identifies some potential in Havant and this is being discussed in relation to the PSV strategic site.	None
	LCF6.1 - Site selection criteria	Introduces new site selection criteria for development allocation.	Strategic sites will not have specifically addressed these criteria, however, the issues are likely to have been considered through site selection processes	Continue to give decentralised energy opportunities a high priority in site selection
	LCF7.1 - Decentralised energy requirements	Requires DPD identification of decentralised energy systems. This should be linked to identified development areas and sites. Focusing on overarching opportunities not likely to be considered by developers in development of their site. Identification of Decentralised energy would flow from Para LCF1.4 assessment (see above).	No CS consideration of this issue (criteria based policy consideration only Policy CS12). Arguably should be some inclusion within CS although not specified in guidance as to allocations or CS DPD as best place to refer.	Consider inclusion within Dev Del DPD
	LCF7.4	Requires targets for the use of Decentralised energy to be expressed either as % reduction in CO2 release or as amount of energy production expressed in kWh	CS Policy CS12 does not refer to energy production targets in this way. Evolving policy and approach may change with new government	None - currently
	LCF8.1	Minimum decentralised energy production targets only appropriate to 2013. Building Regs Part L 2013 amendments will remove DPD requirement for such targets. SoS will only support DPD targets as an interim measure	CS does not differentiate between pre and post 2013	None - currently
	LCF9.1	Targets for sustainable construction should be DPD based but relate to sites & development areas - NOT Authority wide unless specific justification provided	Policy CS12 is applicable across whole borough. Justification may exist	None - currently
	LCF10.1 & 10.2	Requires provision for electric and hybrid car charging points within new developments to be detailed within DPD. Subject to viability assessment.	No reference to this within CS. May be best applied through site allocation document?	None - currently

	LCF11.1	Requires LPA to demonstrate viability of requirements for Decentralised energy, Sustainable Construction or car charging.	Significant viability work in place at county, sub regional and local level, except car charging	None - currently
	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Consultation draft only - No action</b>		<b>Not till adopted guidance exists</b>
<b>Document</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Effect/Non compliance/Requirements</b>	<b>Core Strategy Implication?</b>	<b>Action?</b>
<b>PPS3: Housing (June 2010)</b>	Para 41	Introduces statement that there is no presumption that PDL is suitable for residential development or that the whole curtilage should be developed.		
	Para 47	Removal of national minimum density (30 DPH) and reference to variety of density targets across the borough	Minimal Plan Impact. HBCS Paras 6.20 - 6.23 already refer to HBCS density assumptions based on local character assessment and the need for density calculations to be determined by local circumstance. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) does use density ranges that don't go below 30dph. The introduction of lower density may require more sites from the SHLAA to be used. However, a mix of densities and ensuring that the most accessible and sustainable sites are used most effectively should ensure that the impact is minimised.	Consider through SHLAA. Revise calculation and reference through EiP
	Annex B	Removal of "Private Residential Gardens" from definition of PDL	HBCS Para 6.12 establishes likely % of housing on PDL. This is based on the work in the SHLAA which did not put any significant reliance on the development of private gardens, therefore this is unlikely to change.	None - currently
<b>Document</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Effect/Non compliance/Requirements</b>	<b>Core Strategy Sound?</b>	<b>Action?</b>
<b>PPS5: Planning for the Historic Environment (23 March 2010)</b>	Objectives	National policy position - Policies for determining application are helpful and need to be signposted in DM section of HBCS	HBCS does not contradict the objectives	Need to signpost new PPS.

	Para HE1.1 - Heritage Assets and Climate Change	Requires that climate change adaptation and mitigation measures are incorporated in policy development for heritage assets. Seeking reuse and modification of heritage assets to secure reduced carbon emissions and sustainable development	No direct reference linking heritage assets and sustainable construction/modification. Policy CS12 applies to all development including heritage assets. The principles of protecting heritage assets is embodied in the HBCS objectives, policy CS10 and the detail of the relationship climate change can be explored through the sustainable construction SPD.	Consider heritage asset issues within Sustainable Construction SPD
	Policy HE2 - Evidence Base	Establishes Evidence base requirements for Plan Making. Requires analysis of the evidence to identify the contribution of these assets to the local environment now and in the future	Current listed Evidence base is national and county based with conservation area and some other local evidence bases being updated through programme of character appraisals. HBCS includes signpost links to evidence base	Continue to review evidence base to support Development Delivery DPD
	Policy HE3 - Regional and Local Planning Approaches	Requires positive and pro active strategy for conservation of heritage assets. Requires reference to and incorporation of heritage asset concerns within core strategy spatial vision.	HBCS reflect this Policy requirement at the strategic level in policy CS10 and the emphasis on creating win win win outcomes from development and environmental assets in paragraph 7.03. Built environment stewardship referenced in vision and in objectives on page 29.	Consider strengthening HBCS vision to incorporate heritage asset
	Policy HE5 - Monitoring	Requires increased monitoring of plan impacts upon the historic environment. Requirement to consider degree of risk for individual/groups of heritage assets of loss/decay, likely changes over time and responses to this	HBCS doesn't specify heritage asset monitoring. All monitoring is delegated to the AMR process where heritage is included.	AMR considerations reviewed to address requirements.
<b>Document</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Effect/Non compliance/Requirements</b>	<b>Core Strategy Sound?</b>	<b>Action?</b>
<b>PPS25: March 2010 Review</b>	General	No Plan Impact	N/A	N/A
<b>Document</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Effect/Non compliance/Requirements</b>	<b>Core Strategy Sound?</b>	<b>Action?</b>
<b>PPS25 Supplement: Development and Coastal Change</b>	DCC1	Requirement for effective and appropriate evidence base (EB) for coastal policy. To incorporate partnership working with neighbouring authorities. EB should identify how coastal change could be affected by development in the area or how coastal change could affect development	Havant BC leads the partnership working with Portsmouth, Gosport and Chichester. Solent authorities working together on the updating of the SMP. This should meet the specific requirements of the new PPS	Continue to develop EB to meet PPS25 Supplement requirements

	DCC3.1	Requires the identification of areas likely to be affected by coastal change and for these areas to be referred to as "Coastal Change Management Area" (CCMA)	This is an emerging requirement which the HBCS currently doesn't fully address. CCMA are yet to be defined, whilst criteria for establishment of existing "Coastal Zone" are different to the CCMA criteria referred within the PPS. Use of "Coastal Zone" likely to be superseded once CCMA is defined.	Until CCMA defined cannot include in HBCS. Consider update of Coastal zone through Allocations DPD.
	DCC3.2	Requires allocation of land for appropriate uses within the CCMA	Not HBCS Issue	Allocations DPD
	DCC 3.4	Requires regard to regional habitat creation programme and safeguarding of land for habitat creation/relocation.	HBCS refers to the North Solent Shoreline Management Plan (NSSMP) for identification of relocated habitat. PPS requires DPD consideration of this issue (Safeguarding of land)	Consider safeguarding of land through Allocations DPD
<b>Document</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Effect/Non compliance/Requirements</b>	<b>Core Strategy Sound?</b>	<b>Action?</b>
PPS Consultation: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment		Greater focus on green infrastructure as an overarching aim.	Green infrastructure is given prominence in the HBCS	
*Will replace PPS9, PPG17, Paras 21 - 23, 28 & 29 & 33 of PPS7 and paras 2.9, 2.10 and 3.9 of PPG20	Policy NE1: Evidence Base	Requires up to date assessments of existing and future community needs for open space, green infrastructure, sports, recreation and play facilities. Also audits of existing provision within the area. Consideration of joint working where appropriate and two tier arrangements exist.	EB is reasonably up to date currently reviewing the open spaces study being undertaken and taking forward the PUSH Green Infrastructure Study	Continue to maintain proportional EB to meet requirements.
Policy NE3: Local Planning approach for the Natural Environment	Para NE3.2	Requires the identification on the proposals map and cross referral within explanatory text of international and national sites and wildlife species.	No cross referral within proposals map explanatory text. Policy provision within CS10 simply refers to compliance with statutory designation requirements in accord with the PPS	Amend Proposals Map to comply
	Para NE3.3	Requires <b>criteria</b> based policy for the consideration of development proposals on/affecting sites of regional and local biodiversity and geodiversity interest including local nature reserves and local sites including regionally important geological sites. Also landscape protections areas outside national designated areas should be protected through criteria based policy and local designations critically reviewed	Policy DM8 provides the protection required.	None - currently required.
	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Consultation draft only - No action</b>		<b>Not till adopted guidance exists</b>